

# UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION 7 25 FUNSTON ROAD KANSAS CITY, KANSAS 66115

## OCT | 6 1984

#### MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT: RCRA Compliance Evaluation Inspection at GM Leeds, Kansas City,

Missouri; Follow-up to a Citizen Complaint (A043)

FROM: J

John W. Bosky

Environmental Engineer, EMCM/ENSV

T0:

David Doyle

Environmental Engineer, AWCM/ARWM

THRU:

Robert B. Dona RBDona

Chief, Field Investigations Section, EMCM/ENSV

### INSPECTION PARTICIPANTS

General Motors Assembly Division-Leeds Plant (GM): Jerome E. Daniels, P.E., Director-Plant Engineering Larry N. Pemberton, Environmental Engineer Jack Still, Supervisor-Maintenance

Missouri Department of Natural Resources (MDNR): Steven Johnson, Environmental Specialist, Kansas City Regional Office

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA): John W. Bosky, Environmental Engineer

# BACKGROUND INFORMATION

On August 9, 1984, the EPA received a citizen complaint that the General Motors Assembly Division-Leeds Plant in Kansas City, Missouri, was improperly disposing of a hazardous waste (paint sludge) into the Municipal sewer collection system. The caller indicated that this improper disposal was an on-going activity and would be discovered if the facilty was investigated immediately.

# INSPECTION SUMMARY

On August 9, 1984, I conducted a RCRA compliance evaluation inspection at the General Motors Assembly Division-Leeds Plant in Kansas City, Missouri. This inspection was conducted to follow up on an allegation that a hazardous waste was being improperly disposed of by discharge into the Municipal sewer collection system. The following summarizes the findings of this inspection:



R00161445 RCRA RECORDS CENTER RECEIVED

OCT 17 1984

BRANCH

Branch Oct. Markey Out 10/10/82

001 | 6 1984

- sludge removal) and a valved bottom drain which flows to GM's industrial wastewater collection system. These drains are kept closed while the water curtain systems are in use.
- 3. Facility representatives stated that the sludge accumulation tanks for the water curtain systems are cleaned around every two weeks on alternating weekends. Facility representatives summarized the tank cleaning procedures as follows:
  - a. Tank usage is discontinued and all paint solids are allowed to fully settle.
  - b. The bottom drain valve is cracked open and the water layer above the sludge is allowed to slowly drain.
  - c. Maintenance personnel remove the sludge from the tank using shovels. Sludge is shoveled through the opening in the side of the tank into a small cart. When full, this cart is taken to a waiting sludge box and emptied. This procedure continues until all of the solids have been removed from the tank. The tank system is then placed back into operation.

Facility representatives estimated that 2 to 4 21-cubic yard sludge boxes of paint sludges are generated monthly. It should be noted that any material drained from the sludge accumulation tanks will flow to the Municipal sewer collection system.

4. During the discussion of paint sludge handling procedures, facility representatives stated that the paint sludges generated at GM-Leeds have been analyzed and were found to be non-hazardous. These analytical results are included as Attachment I. In addition, although the paint sludges are not classified as hazardous waste, they are currently being disposed of at the U.S. PCI facility in Oklahoma, an approved hazardous waste landfill. Waste shipment logs for wastes generated at the GM-Leeds Plant are included as Attachment  $\overline{\text{II}}$ . These logs indicate that paint sludge shipments have been consistent over the past year.

- 5. After initial discussions with facility representatives, I conducted a visual inspection of a sludge accumulation tank that was in the process of being cleaned. At this time, I observed 6 or 7 maintenance workers inside of a large metal tank. These men were shoveling a sludge-like material into a cart through an opening in the side of the tank. In addition to the sludge piled inside of the tank, I observed that the floor of the tank was covered with a thin layer of a milky appearing liquid. I next asked for, and received approval, to interview several of the workers who were cleaning the tank. The following maintenance workers were interviewed:
  - Jack Hazelrigg
  - James Garner
  - Ron Durnell
  - Clyde Coump

Discussions with these individuals yielded the following concensus regarding sludge tank clean out practices:

- a. Prior to any sludge clean out, the water layer is drained from the tank. No wastes are drained from the tank except when it is being cleaned.
- b. After the water layer is drained, maintenance workers enter the tank and shovel out the remaining paint solids.
- c. Toward the end of the cleaning operation, all residue remaining on the floor of the tank is pushed to one side of the tank and any free liquid with the residue is allowed to gravity flow to the drain.
- d. The maintenance workers then remove as much of the remaining residue as possible. Next, they push (with squeegees) all liquid and light solids remaining on the floor of the tank to the tank drain.
- e. The maintenance workers were uniform in their statements that they felt that as much of the paint solids as possible was shoveled out of the tank, and that the only wastes that are drained are the water layer above the sludge level initially and a thin layer of liquid and residue that cannot be shoveled out.
- 6. Currently, any material drained from the paint sludge accumulation tanks is discharged to the Municipal wastewater collection system. GM-Leeds is in the process of constructing a wastewater pre-treatment facility. When finished, all wastes discharged from the paint sludge accumulation tanks will be treated at this facility.

7. During the interview with Ron Durnell, he stated that he had observed waste paint being mixed into the sludge box used for the paint sludge. This was confirmed by Jack Still and James Garner, who stated that around 30 to 40 gallons of a hardened paint was placed into the sludge box used for paint sludges. Larry Pemberton confirmed that waste paint from the plant would be classified as an ignitable waste, but also stated that since the paint was hardened, it would be difficult to classify it as hazardous or non-hazardous without testing. The hardened paint had not been tested prior to disposal. It should again be noted that although the paint sludges have been classified as non-hazardous, they are disposed of at an approved hazardous waste landfill.

#### CONCLUSIONS

The citizen complaint regarding improper hazardous waste disposal by GM-Leeds seems to be based on the disposal of two wastestreams during the routine cleaning of the paint sludge accumulation tanks.

- 1. Initial water layer above the paint sludge.
- 2. Any liquid and light solids remaining on the floor of the tanks after paint solids have been shoveled out.

Since the paint sludges have been tested and found to be non-hazardous, and because the facilty attempts to recover as much of the paint sludges as possible, there does not appear to be any improper disposal of paint sludges by GM-Leeds. However, the discharge of wastes during tank cleaning could adversely impact any Municipal limitations placed on the plant's industrial wastewater discharge.

#### Attachments:

I - Paint Sludge Analyses (5 pages)

II - Waste Shipment Logs (6 pages)



November 26, 1982

Mr. Larry Pemberton Plant Engineering GM Assembly Division 6817 Stadium Drive Kansas City, MO 64129

Dear Sir:

Our analysis of the sample acquired on 11-17-82 has been completed pursuant to your order #LE 103186. Results are appended.

Sincerely,

Merrill E. Nissen, director

Merrill E. Misse

AZTEC Laboratories

Note: The materials referred to above is a sample from GMAD Leeds Plant; "Prime Paint Sludge"



May 31, 1980

Mr. Larry Pemberton
Plant Engineering
GM Assembly Division
6817 Stadium Drive
Kansas City, Mo. 64129

Re.: Waste Classification of Color Paint Sludge

#### Dear Sir:

- A. Department of Natural Resources Hazard Class: Listed( Acrylic)
- B. Major Components of Waste: Resin-ACRYLIC DISPORSION POLYMERS. Extract level by Toxic Extraction Procedure

Arsenic: LT 0.5 Barium:  $_{
m LT}$ 10 Cadmium: LT 0.01 Chromium: LT 0.1 Lead: LT 0.1 Mercury: LT 0.001 Selenium: LT 0.1 Silver: LT 0.01

Chlorinated Pesticides: not applicable

- C. Physical Data:
  - 1. Solubility in water:  $0.0136 \text{ g/100 ml} \oplus 20^{\circ}\text{C}$
  - Specific gravity: 1.11
  - 3. Vapor Density: not applicable
  - 4a. Appearance: grey sludge
  - 4b. Odor: paint solvent
  - 5. Physical Data: sludge
  - 6. Weight % volatiles @ 100°C--54.6
  - 7. Weight % volatiles @ 600°C--88.7
  - 8. Boiling Point OC: not applicable
  - 9. pH: 5.7
- D. % Moisture: 53%

(GM Assembly Division, Leeds Plant, waste analyzed is "Prime Paint Sludge")

# WASTE MATERIAL EVALUATION INFORMATION

- I. Physical Chemical Properties
  - A. Physical State: Semi-solid
  - B. Flash Point: not determinable
  - C. Vapor Pressure: ca 100 mm Hg
  - D. Specific Gravity: 50.57 lb./cu. ft.
  - E. Odor: Slight odor of mineral spirits
  - F. Solids: 1) TS--68.0% by wt. 2) TDS--N/A 3) TSS--N/A
  - G. Type: Inorganic/Organic Mixture
  - H. pH/Normality: N/A
  - I. Weight % volatiles @ 100 C: 32.0
  - J. Weight % volatiles @ 600 C: 44.6
- II. Inorganic Components
  - A. Metals: (ppm)

	EP Extractable
Ag	lt 0.05
As	lt 0.5
Ba	1t10
Cd	lt 0.01
Cr	lt 0.1
Cu	lt 0.05
Hg	lt 0.001
Ni	lt 0.05
Pb	0.77
Se	lt 0.5
Zn	0.08

- III. Incineration Properties
  - A. BTU: 2160 BTU/lb.
  - B. Ash: 55.4%
  - C. Halogen: 0.02%
  - D. Sulfur: 0.37%
  - E. Mercury: 1t 0.005 ppm
  - F. Lead: 1t 0.1 ppm
- IV. EPA Waste Classification: Non-hazardous

lt=less than



February 20, 1981

Mr. Larry Pemberton Plant Engineering GM Assembly Division 6817 Stadium Drive Kansas City, Mo. 64129

Re.: 40CFR261. hazard classification of process wastes

Dear Sir:

Following are the results of our analysis of the several process waste samples received on 2/4/81. None of the wastes were expected to be found hazardous as ignitable (40CFR 261.21) or reactive (40CFR 261.23). Tests were conducted to determine corrosivity and toxicity.

		and what the same of the same					
1	Parameter	Prime	Color	Blue Surf	Bonderite	Chrome	Caustic
1	Arsenic	1t0.5	1t0.5	1t0.5	lt 0.5	lt 0.5	1t 0.5
1	Barium	1t 10	1t 10	lt 10	lt 10	lt 10	1t 10
-	Cadmium	1t0.01	1t0.01	lt0.01	0.04	0.02	0.04
	Chromium	1.8	0.07	0.04	0.07	1.2	0.04
	Lead	0.05	0.94	1.2	0.06	lt 0.05	0.05
-	Mercury	lt0.001	lt0.001	1t0.001	1t0.001	1t0.001	1t0.001
	Selenium	1t 0.1	1t 0.1	1t0.1	1t 0.1	1t 0.1	lt 0.1
İ	Silver	1t0.001	1t0.001	1t0.001	1t0.001	1t0.001	1t0.001
1	Нq	N/A	N/A	N/A	3.8	N/A	13.1

40 CFR 261.24 indicates that none of the wastes are to be classed as hazardous by toxicity, however by 40 CFR 261.22 the caustic sludge should be classed as corrosive and hazardous. That waste stream is the only one which should be classed in the general hazard classifications. It is possible that listed processes or components may require others to be classed as hazardous.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service.

Menilo & Misse

Merrill E. Nissen, director

AZTEC Laboratories

- E. Flash Point: not applicable
- F. Reactivity: none

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service.

Sincerely,

Merill E. Nuns

Merrill E. Nissen, Director

MEN/dv

	HAZA	RDOUS	WAS	ES 5,	HIPME	V15 -	MANI	FEST	CONT	POL LOG		
	MBCR	EIDE	NTIFICA	TION N	45 10 A1		C SHIP			WEITY	REMAR	ve
256	7/5/84	PAINE	Shud	gE_		U.S. Pol				1	15131	
257	16/84	PATNI	Shudg	E		4.5. Pol	1	,	1		1515	
258	7/10/84	WASTE	Solver			1	Pecol	1	APPROY		1515	
259	100 190		SLvdg			•	i	outral	210.	1131		
260	1/12/84	PAJNI	Shude			U.S. Pa	Jution	in trul	210 9	2/	1516	
261	7/30/84	WASte	Solvers								1516	
762	7/31/84	Bondes	te Shudg	16 DRUN	n (	11.S. Po)	ĺ	-	1 1	Sanl.	15199	
263	- 1/31/84				,			DUSTE	7		1520	
64	8/1/84					50,000	Dog	UF 19.4	3,000 Apple	8 X	15203	
65	8/2/84					CALIU	+ 0-0	worl	9,8000	Son.	15201	
266	813/84					1					1521	
67	10.00 C 2000 C 2		Studa		i	U.S. Pa	100				1521	
68	, ,	7.7.2.00	7			U.S.Pa	10402	ONTROL	Zicun	as,	1521	74
								Section - Park to the section of the				
							-+					
					-							
		-		-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		The state of the s					
		*****					* 1130 Substituting Mercury and					
((					The second of th							

Box.

	HAZAI	RDOU:	S WAS	TES 5	HIPME	W15 -	MANI	FEST	CONTI	40L LO	G		1
IPMENT O	CR	É IDE	NTIFICA	ATION N	NUMBER	,	RE SHIP			NFITY		REMAR	rs
250	6/6/84	PAIN	Sku	idae				on Contra		-		1510	
251	6/7/84	BowdRIH	senlen	10000 12 70000	ins uns	•		Control	And the control of th			1510	
252	617/84	PATA	At Shu	dai		1	1	CONTRAL	1			1510	
253	1, 1	1	Solve	1 4		1	1	roup 9				15/1	
54	1 1		Shode			l	1	Worther					
55	6/27/84							· Contr				15.13	
	-					1,3,10	147701	LONTIN	DK-101	1.70,		1513	170
										<del>'</del>			
7	1								!				
	-							-	<u> </u>	-	-		
						-			<b> </b>				
					-								
	!				-								
					-			_ '					
								]					
												1	
***************************************												*	
(·(													<del></del>
								<u> </u>		1			

	HAZAL	RDOUS	WASTES	SHIPME	V15 -	MANIA	est o	ONTR	06 CO	5		
HIPMENT O	ONTROL	WASTE	IOENT!	FICATION WIMBER		e ship			NFITY		EMIR	KS
230	4/1 94	WASTE	Solven	+	Solve	nt Rec	overy	App.	OX. GAL		149	985 /
231	416/84	Paint S	ludge		U.S Po	Vution	ontrol	210	u. Vd.		149	881
232	4/9/84	Paints	ludge		U.S. 13	Vation	Cortrol	210	v. Vd		149	74 V
233	4/10/84	Paints				21/4/12			avd		1499	75
234	4/12/84	Print	Sludge	Chusticsludge	1/6/	Mutto			u Vd.		1.499	76
235		Bonder 1	es/0,-1 4	Chusticstudge	45 /2	Mution	Contro	1 82	Drums		1500	80 V
236	4/2/3/84	Wrote	Solver		50/14	A Rec	WERY	7000	GAL		1501	99 ~
237	4/17/94	Paints	ladge		11.5.1	Mution	Control	Approx	m yd.		150	56 V
238	1/24/84		Solvent		Sulve	* t Red	overy	3500	601		14%	31 V
239	5/3/84	WASTE	Solvail		Solve	WL Rec	WERY	7,00	OBAL		1504	106
240	5/4/84	PATNZ:	Shudge		1	Polluts	1 1		Cu.Yd.		504	141 ~
241	5/9/84	Paint	Sludge		U.S.	Pollution	Contra	1 2	1Cu Yd		1505	36
242	5/11/84	Paint	Sludge		USE	Mution	Contro	, ,	Cu Vd		1505	37
243	3/10/84	Paint S	ludge		U.S /	Mation	ConTro	2 21	Culd.		150	38 /
244	5/17/84	Paint	Sludge		U. S. F.	l'ation	Contro	, ,	Cu. Kd.		150	03 /
245	125/84	Wasta	Solvent		Solve	ent Rec	vuery	7.00	O OAL		150	787
246	5/25/24	Wast	Solvent			ent Rec	,	3000	GAL.		150	30 V
247	3/35/84	Waste	Point		Solve	+ Reco	very	14-559	ol Drums		1508	02 0
<b>U</b> 48	5/31/84	PIPINTS				alla tzon	1	21 Cu	Yd,		1509	611
249		PAINT	,			Allutag	1		1,7d.		1510	221

	1			T			-					,	4
HIPMENT O	HAZAL	WAST	WAS	ES S	HIPME	V15 -	MANI	F657	CONTI	OF L	G		
NUMBE	GR	EIDE	YTIFICA	TION A	NUMBER		E SHIP			NFIT		REMAR	KS
228	<sup>2</sup> /26/84 <sup>3</sup> /28/84	WAST	= Solu	SNT		50100	vt Roc	OVORA	7,7 trd 21	DO GA	'		38 V
	128/09	PAFNI	5hu	198		U.S.	ally tro	N Con	trd 21	cv. 4d.		1497	29 V
*****			-										
								-					
									н				
													•
									9	~~~	:		
5													G F
(:(													







	HAZA	RDOUS WASTES SHIPME	ENTS -	MANI	F657	CONT			
PMENT O	R	E IDENTIFICATION NUMBER	/	E SHIP			of Log		···
208	15/84	Mate Salvant					NFITY	REMAR	-
209	110/84			Chem.			3641	1479	77
210	1/3/84		U.S. Po	llution	Control	210	v. Vd.	1490	75-
		Waste Solvent	Solve	nt Rec	overy	1900	GAI	1481	
211	1/3/84	Waste Solvent		K Chem		7350			
	123/84	Paint Sludge					64/	1478	
213	1/24/84	Paint Sludge				1 210	u Vd	1483	73 V
214	124/84		U.S. Pol	1			w. fd	1483	72 /
215	1/24/84	Bonderite Slidge 79 Waste Senier	1 1	Mation		17-	v Vd	14807	5-1
0/5	2/6,	Waste Senier	U.S. P.	Mution	Control	79-1	Druhs	1484	
216	2/8/80	WasteSolvent	1	ntRo					
217	2/9/84	Waste Solvent	1 :	nt Re	* 8	1	•	14862	
218	2/14/90	Waste Ppint	1 - 1		, ,		611	1487	160
219	2/17/84	Waste Solvent	1 1	n + Re	(1		rans	1488	36
220	2/20/84		1	nt Re	/ /	2000	Gals		/
221	2/28/84	Waste Solvent	Sulva	mt Re	covery	7200	Gal.	14895	8
- 1	2247	Paint Sludge	U.S.Po.	lution .	Control	2104	Vd	14837	
	2/28/84	Print Sludge	1 1	Nution	1	2100			
223	2/28/84	Paint Sludge	1 1	1				14910	
224	3/7/84	WasteSolvent	1	Mution + D	1	2100		14910	2
225	36/84			nt Ro			24/	14927	3
( 226	3/14/84	Waste Solvent	Sulve	ent Re	Covery	Joon (	24/	14922	Y
122	3/13/84	Waste Solvent Bonderite Sludge	Solve	en t Re	covery	2000	Oruns Druns	14928	7
227 1	10/8/	Waste Sealer	4.5 B	llution	Contra	1/22	Drums Drums	14933	



MENT CONTROL NUMBER	WASTE IDENTIFICATION NUMBER		QUANTITY	REMARKS
193 10/5/83	Paint Studge	4.5 PoNution Control	210 u. Yd.	146452
194 10/19/83	PAINT Sludge	U.S. Pollution Control	2100 pd	146674
195 1925/83	Waste Solvent	Solvent Recovery	2000 GA/	146743
196 10/25/83	Obsolete PAINT	Sulvent Recovery	1000 Gal. (1700 ums)	146810
197 "12/83	Paint Sludge	U.S. Pollation Contro	1 21 Cu. Yd.	146901 V
198 11/3/83	Paint Sludge 3-Flocust 6-Chrome Trent 9-Scaler 72-Bonderite Paint Sludge	U.S Pollution Control	21 Cu. Vd.	146702
199 116/83	9-Sealer 72- Bonderite	U.S Pollution Contro	1 90 Drums	147156 0
200 11/22/83	Printsludge	U.S. Pollution Contro	1 21 Cu /d.	147295 0
201 11/23/13	Waste Solvent	Mobay Chemical Co	7200GA1	147323
202 1/23/83	Obsolete Paint	Solven T Recovery	8 Orums 170 Gal.	147335
203 11/30/83	Waste Solvent	Solver + Recovery	2000 GAL	147 379
204 (2/13/83	Paint Sludge	U. S Pollution Control	21 Cv. Vd.	147691
205 123/83	Waste Solvent	Mobay Chemical Co	7540 GAV.	147827
206 12/22/83	Paint Sludge	U.S. Pollution Contro	21 Cu. Vd	147 828
207 12/22/43	Print Sludge	U. S Pollution Control	21 Cu. Yd	147 829
,				
66				